

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action, and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter that Applicant regards as the invention.

Review of the subject application in view of the present amendment/remarks is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112

Claims 4-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

Claim 4 has been amended to recite “rotatable means” instead of “cooperating means.” This change is consistent with the Examiner’s suggestion in the Office action and is believed to overcome the rejection.

Moreover, although claims 4-13 have not been treated on the merits, the apparatus claimed in these claims includes subject matter already allowed in claims 1-3.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

Appl. No. 10/534,152
Amdt. Dated: August 8, 2008
Reply to Office action of May 16, 2008

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. ABE1-38244.

Respectfully submitted,
PEARNE & GORDON, LLP

By: 
Ronald M. Kachmarik – Reg. No. 34512

1801 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108
(216) 579-1700

Date: August 8, 2008